

Are You Dense? Handy Patient Guide to Screening Options for Dense Breasts★

The purpose of breast screening is to find cancer **EARLY** when there are better survival outcomes & more treatment options

SCREENING TEST	Approximate CANCER Detection Rate per 1000 Screenings	BENEFITS	POTENTIAL HARMS	OTHER CONSIDERATIONS
2D Mammography	3-5	15 to 40% reduction in deaths (Randomized Controlled Trials)	Radiation, False Negatives-Cancer masked by dense tissue Under diagnosis	Standard for Front-line Breast Cancer Screening Readily available Potential for over diagnosis
3D Mammography/Tomosynthesis	4-7 (2D+3D)	15 to 40% reduction in deaths (Randomized Controlled Trials) Less callbacks	Radiation, False Negatives-Cancer masked by dense tissue Under diagnosis	Becoming Standard of Care Current Breast Cancer Screening Trial 2D vs 3D (TMIST)
Contrast Enhanced Spectral Mammography (CESM)	Detects more cancer than mammography Similar detection as MRI (see below)	Less expensive & shorter exam than MRI w/similar yield	Injection - Iodine contrast	Mostly used when mammography is inconclusive
Hand-Held Ultrasound	3-7 (as secondary screen after 'normal' mammo in women w/ dense breasts)	No Ionizing Radiation No Compression Readily Available	False Positives – Biopsies that end up being negative for cancer	Operator Dependent/Practice & Training Reduces False Positives/ Insurance coverage varies depending on coding/state law/ patient plan
Automated Ultrasound	2-4 (as secondary screen after 'normal' mammo in women w/ dense breasts)	No Ionizing Radiation/No Compression/Designed to reduce operator dependence	Mixed findings on reducing False Positives	Not readily available/Patient may be recalled for second look/ Insurance coverage varies depending on coding/state law/ patient plan
Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)	11 (average-risk) to 18+ (high risk)	High Sensitivity in seeing cancer	Gadolinium Contrast Injection Potential for false positives	Mostly Reserved for high risk & women w/genetic mutations/ Confined space & patient size may lead to non-compliance/ Costly
Abbreviated/Fast MRI	15-18	High Sensitivity in Seeing Cancer/Shorter exam time & reading time than traditional MRI w/similar results	Gadolinium Contrast Injection Potential for false positives	Not readily available Multi-Center Trial for women with dense breast tissue ECOG/ACRIN EA1141
Molecular Breast Imaging	8-9	Sensitive to finding cancer in dense breasts Less false positives	Radioactive Tracer Injection/Whole body radiation	Not readily available/Fasting before study/Ask about dose as radiation dose varies

★ References - Guide will be updated as new studies are published

2D Mammography

Duffy SW, Tabar L, Fagerberg G, et al. Breast screening, prognostic factors and survival-results from the Swedish Two County Study. Br J Cancer 1991; 64:1133-8. **Nelson HD**, Cantor A, Humphrey L, et al. Rockville (MD): Screening for Breast Cancer: A Systematic Review to Update the 2009 U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation [Internet]. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); 2016 Jan. **Nelson HD**, Pappas M, Cantor A, Griffin J, et al. Harms of Breast Cancer Screening: Systematic Review to Update the 2009 U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation. Ann Intern Med. 2016 Feb 16;164(4):256-67. **Tabar L**, Vitak, B, Chen, T et al. Swedish Two-County Trial: Impact of Mammographic Screening on Breast Cancer during 3 Decades. Radiology 2011; Vol 260 Issue 3.

3D/Tomosynthesis

Conant EF, Beaber EF, Sprague BL, et al. Breast cancer screening using tomosynthesis in combination with digital mammography compared to digital mammography alone: A cohort study within the PROSPR consortium. Breast cancer research and treatment. 2016;156(1):109-116. **Friedewald SM**, Rafferty EA, Rose SL, et al.: Breast cancer screening using tomosynthesis in combination with digital mammography. JAMA 2014;311 (24): 2499-507. **Houssami N**, Macaskill P, Bernardi D, et al. Breast screening using 2D-mammography or integrating digital breast tomosynthesis (3D-mammography) for single-reading or double-reading-- evidence to guide future screening strategies. Eur J Cancer. 2014 Jul;50(10):1799-807. **Tagliafico AS**, Calabrese M, Mariscotti G, et al. Adjunct screening with tomosynthesis or ultrasound in mammography-negative dense breasts (ASTOUND): Interim report of a prospective comparative trial. J Clin Oncol, 2016

Contrast Enhanced Spectral Mammography

Fallenberg EM, Dromain C, Diekmann F, et al. Contrast-enhanced spectral mammography versus MRI: initial results in the detection of breast cancer and assessment of tumour size. Eur Radiol, 2014;(24):256–264. **Mori M**, Akashi-Tanaka S, Suzuki S, et al. Breast Cancer. Diagnostic accuracy of contrast-enhanced spectral mammography in comparison to conventional full-field digital mammography in a population of women with dense breasts. 2017;24(1):104-110.

Hand-held Ultrasound

Berg WA, Zhang Z, Lehrer D, et al. Detection of breast cancer with addition of annual screening ultrasound or a single screening MRI to mammography in women with elevated breast cancer risk. 2012;307(13): 1394-1401. **Gordon PB**, Goldenberg SL. Malignant breast masses detected only by ultrasound: a retrospective review. Cancer 1995;(76):626-30. **Hooley RJ**, Greenberg KL, Stackhouse RM, et al. Screening US in patients with mammographically dense breasts: initial experience with Connecticut Public Act 09-41. Radiology, 2012;265:59–692. **Kolb TM**, Lichy J, Newhouse JH. Comparison of the performance of screening mammography, physical examination and breast US and evaluation of factors that influence them: an analysis of 27,825 patient evaluations. Radiology, 2002;225:165-75. **Weigert J**. The Connecticut Experiment; The third installment: 4 years of screening women with dense breasts with bilateral ultrasound. The Breast Journal, 2017,23(1)34-39.

Automated Ultrasound

Brem RF, Tabar L, Duffy SW, et al. Assessing improvement in detection of breast cancer with three-dimensional automated breast US in women with dense breast tissue: The Somolnsight Study. Radiology. 2015;274(3):663–73. **Kaplan, S**. Automated Breast Ultrasound. Radiologic Clinics, 2014, Vol 52(3)539-546. **Kelly KM**, Dean J, Comulada WS, Lee SJ. Breast cancer detection using automated whole breast ultrasound and mammography in radiographically dense breasts. Eur Radiol 2010; 20:734-42.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)

McLaughlin, SA. Current controversies surrounding MRI screening for breast cancer. American Journal of Hematology/Oncology. 2015. American Journal of Hematology/Oncology. **Schrading, S** & Kuhl, C. MRI screening of women at average risk of breast cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology 2013 31:26. **Warner E**, Plewes DB, Hill KA, et al: Surveillance of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers with magnetic resonance imaging, ultrasound, mammography, and clinical breast examination. JAMA 2004,292 (11): 1317-25.

Abbreviated/Fast MRI

Chen SQ, Huang M, Shen Y-Y, et al. Abbreviated MRI protocols for detecting breast cancer in women with dense breasts. Korean Journal of Radiology. 2017;18(3):470-475. **Harvey SC**, Di Carlo PA, Lee et al. An abbreviated protocol for high-risk screening breast MRI saves time and resources. J Am Coll Radiol. 2016, R74-R80. **Kuhl CK**. Abbreviated breast MRI for screening women with dense breast: The EA1141 Trial. Br J Radiol. 2017. **Strahle DA**, Pathak DR, Sierra A, et al. Systematic development of an abbreviated protocol for screening breast magnetic resonance imaging. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2017 Apr;162(2):283-295.

Molecular Breast Imaging

Rhodes DJ, Hruska CB, Conners AL, et al. Journal Club. Molecular breast imaging at reduced radiation dose for supplemental screening in mammographically dense breasts. Am J Roentgenol. 2015, 204(2):241-51. **Shermis RB**, Redfern RE, Burns J, Kudrolli H. Molecular breast imaging in breast cancer screening and problem solving. Radiographics. 2017 Sep-Oct;37(5):1309

Dense Breast Tissue

Boyd N, Guo H, Martin L et al. Mammographic density and the risk and detection of breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2007; 356:227-36. **Cappello, NM** Decade of normal mammography reports-The happygram. J Am Coll Radiol 2013;10:903-908. **Engmann, N**, Goldmakani, M, Miglioretti, D. Population-Attributable Risk Proportion of Clinical Risk Factors for Breast Cancer. AMA Oncol 2017;3(9):1228-1236. **Mandelson MT**, Oestreicher N, Porter PL, et al. Breast density as a predictor of mammographic detection: comparison of interval and screen-detected cancers. J Natl Cancer Inst 2000;92:1081-7. **Wolfe JN**. Breast patterns as an index for risk for developing breast cancer. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1976;126:1130-7.